Transformed Regression, Prediction Interval, and T test

(Jing Li, Miami University)

- 1. This note discusses how to obtain prediction interval (or interval forecast) and run T test with the technique of transformed regression.
- 2. Consider House data, and we want to predict the average price for a house with two bathrooms. The R codes and results of regressing price onto baths are below

3. The general formula for prediction is

$$\hat{y} \equiv \hat{E}(y|x=c) = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 c \tag{1}$$

For this example, the predicted <u>average</u> price when baths equal two is 73676.15:

```
> # predict E(y|x=c)
> c = 2
> yhat = summary(m)$coef[1,1]+summary(m)$coef[2,1]*c
> yhat
[1] 73676.15
```

The R built-in function **predict** can be applied here:

```
> newda = data.frame(baths=c)
> predict(m,newdata=newda)
73676.15
```

4. It is harder to obtain a prediction interval that looks like

$$\hat{y} \pm critical value * se \tag{2}$$

The challenge is finding the standard error (se), the square root of variance of \hat{y} . Let us try using math to derive the variance. First, rewrite \hat{y} as

$$\hat{y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 c = (\bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}) + \hat{\beta}_1 c = \bar{y} + \hat{\beta}_1 (c - \bar{x})$$
(3)

where we use the OLS formula for the intercept $\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}$. Let $\sigma^2 = var(u|x)$ be the conditional variance of error term, which is also the <u>conditional</u> variance of y. Then it follows that

$$var(\hat{y}) = var(\bar{y}) + var(\hat{\beta}_1(c - \bar{x})) = \sigma^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(c - \bar{x})^2}{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2}\right)$$
 (4)

where we use the facts that $var(\bar{y}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$ and $var(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$. The R codes to obtain the standard error (square root of $var(\bar{y})$) and prediction intervals are

- > varyhat = summary(m)\$sigma^2*(1/length(da\$baths)+(c-mean(da\$baths))^2/sum((da\$bat
- > seyhat = sqrt(varyhat)
- > seyhat
- [1] 1468.147
- > yhat-qt(0.975,319)*seyhat
- [1] 70787.67
- > yhat+qt(0.975,319)*seyhat
- [1] 76564.62

where the critical value is from the T distribution with n - k - 1 = 319 degree of freedom.

5. In this case, the 95 percent prediction interval of rprice for a house with two bathrooms are (70787.67, 76564.62)

- 6. It turns out that there is an equivalent but simpler way to compute the standard error and obtain prediction interval. The key is running a <u>transformed</u> regression.
 - (a) First, define a <u>new</u> regressor w as the difference between the original regressor and the value used for prediction

$$w = x - c$$

Then algebra rearrangement of original regression leads to a transformed regression using w as regressor:

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + u = \beta_0 + \beta_1 (w + c) + u = (\beta_0 + \beta_1 c) + \beta_1 w + u \tag{5}$$

(b) Notice that the constant term (intercept) $\beta_0 + \beta_1 c$ in the transformed regression is the same as yhat. Unsurprisingly, its standard error gives us the se of yhat

As expected, the standard error of intercept 1468.147 is what we seek.

(c) Even better, we can use built-in function **confint** to obtain the confidence interval for the intercept, which is also the prediction interval for yhat

(d) Function**predict** provides the same interval

- (e) We may predict <u>individual</u> price other than average price for a house with two bathrooms
 - > # predict individual y
 - > $yhat-qt(0.975,319)*sqrt(summary(m.t)$coef[1,2]^2+summary(m)$sigma^2)$
 - [1] 26243.5
 - > yhat+qt(0.975,319)*sqrt(summary(m.t)\$coef[1,2]^2+summary(m)\$sigma^2)
 - [1] 121108.8
 - > predict(m,newdata=data.frame(baths=c(2)),interval="prediction",level=0.95)
 - fit lwr upr
 - 1 73676.15 26243.5 121108.8

In this case, the standard error of individual yhat is

$$se(individual \ \hat{y}) = \sigma \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(c - \bar{x})^2}{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2}\right)}$$
 (6)

7. Now consider how to obtain a transformed regression in order to test the single hypothesis of linear combination of coefficients such as

$$H_0: \beta_1 + \beta_2 = c \tag{7}$$

after fitting a multiple regression

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + u \tag{8}$$

(a) We can define a new parameter γ as

$$\gamma = \beta_1 + \beta_2 - c \tag{9}$$

Note that under H_0 we have $\gamma = 0$.

(b) Next replace β_1 with $\gamma - \beta_2 + c$ in the original regression

$$y = \beta_0 + (\gamma - \beta_2 + c)x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + u \tag{10}$$

Simplify. Then we have

$$y - cx_1 = \beta_0 + \gamma x_1 + \beta_2 (x_2 - x_1) + u \tag{11}$$

- (c) For the transformed regression, the dependent variable is $y cx_1$, and regressors are x_1 and $x_2 x_1$.
- (d) Now we need to test the hypothesis

$$H_0: \gamma = 0$$

and the t value is automatically reported by R (for coefficient of x_1) after we run the transformed regression.

- (e) For example, considering testing $H_0: \beta_1 + \beta_2 = 1$ for the multiple regression that regresses rprice onto age and baths
 - > da\$newy = da\$rprice-da\$age
 - > da\$newx1 = da\$age
 - > da\$newx2 = da\$baths-da\$age
 - > summary(lm(newy~newx1+newx2,data=da))\$coef

Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)

(Intercept) 19865.82 4871.209 4.07821 5.741383e-05

newx1 27965.67 1872.823 14.93236 1.417865e-38

newx2 28067.13 1856.694 15.11673 2.786054e-39

The t test is the t value of newx1, 14.93236.

- (f) The squared t value 222.9754 is <u>F test</u>, which be obtained by **linearHypothesis** function in **car** package
 - > 14.93236^2
 - [1] 222.9754
 - > library(car)
 - > m = lm(rprice~age+baths,data=da)
 - > linearHypothesis(m, matrix(c(0, 1, 1), nrow = 1), 1)

Hypothesis: age + baths = 1

Model 1: restricted model

Model 2: rprice ~ age + baths

Res.Df RSS Df Sum of Sq F Pr(>F)

- 1 319 3.0917e+11
- 2 318 1.8174e+11 1 1.2743e+11 222.98 < 2.2e-16 ***